

nCTEQ15 nuclear parton distributions with uncertainties^a

A. Kusina

*Laboratoire de Physique Subatomique et de Cosmologie,
Université Grenoble-Alpes, CNRS/IN2P3,
53 avenue des Martyrs, 38026 Grenoble, France*

We present the first official release of the nCTEQ nuclear parton distribution functions (nPDFs) with errors. The main addition to the previous nCTEQ PDFs is the introduction of PDF uncertainties based on the Hessian method. Another important improvement is the inclusion of pion production data from RHIC giving us a handle to constrain gluon PDF. In this presentation we briefly discuss the framework of our analysis and concentrate on the comparison of our results with those of other groups.

1 Introduction

Nucleons and nuclei can be described using the language of parton distribution functions (PDFs) which is based on factorization theorems^{1,2,3}. The case of a free proton is extremely well studied. Several global analyses of free proton PDFs, based on an ever growing set of precise experimental data and on next-to-next-to-leading order theoretical predictions, are regularly updated and maintained^{4,5,6,7}. The structure of a nucleus can be effectively parametrized in terms of protons bound inside a nucleus and described by nuclear PDFs (nPDFs). These nPDFs contain effects on proton structure coming from the strong interactions between the nucleons in a nucleus. Similarly to the PDFs of free protons, nuclear PDFs are obtained by fitting experimental data including deep inelastic scattering on nuclei and nuclear collision experiments. Moreover, as the nuclear effects are clearly dependent on the number of nucleons, experimental data from scattering on multiple nuclei must be considered. In contrast to the free proton PDFs where quark distributions for most flavors together with the gluon distribution are reliably determined over a large kinematic range, nuclear PDFs precision is not comparable due to the lack of accuracy of the current relevant data. In addition, the non-trivial dependence of nuclear effects on the number of nucleons requires a large data set involving several different nuclei. Nevertheless, nuclear PDFs are a crucial ingredient in predictions for high energy collisions involving nuclear targets, such as the lead collisions performed at the LHC.

In this contribution we present the new nCTEQ15 nuclear PDFs that were recently released⁸ and compare them with analyses from other groups providing nPDFs^{9,10,11}. All the details of the analysis can be found in ref.⁸ here we will mostly concentrate on the differences with other nPDFs.

2 nCTEQ global analysis

In the presented nCTEQ analysis we use mostly charged lepton deep inelastic scattering (DIS) and Drell-Yan process (DY) data that provide respectively 616 and 92 data points. Additionally we include pion production data from RHIC (32 data points) that have potential to constrain the

^aPresented at the 50th Rencontres de Moriond QCD and High Energy Interactions, La Thuile, Italy, 19-26 March, 2016.

gluon PDF. To better assess the impact of the pion data on our analysis two fits are discussed: (i) the main **nCTEQ15** fit using all the aforementioned data, and (ii) **nCTEQ15-np** fit which does not include the pion data. The framework of the current analysis, including parameterization, fitting procedure and precise prescription for the Hessian method used to estimate PDF uncertainties is defined in ref.⁸ to which we refer the reader for details.

In both presented fits, we use 16 free parameters to describe the nPDFs, that comprise 7 gluon, 4 u -valence, 3 d -valence and 2 $\bar{d} + \bar{u}$ parameters. In addition, in the **nCTEQ15** case the normalization of the pion data sets is fitted which adds two more free parameters. Both our fits, **nCTEQ15** and **nCTEQ15-np** describe the data very well. Indeed, the quality of the fits as measured by the values of the χ^2/dof (0.81 and 0.84 for the **nCTEQ15** and **nCTEQ15-np** fits respectively), confirms it. Figure 1a shows the bound proton PDFs resulting from the two fits. It clearly




Figure 1: (a) Comparison of bound proton lead PDFs from the **nCTEQ15** fit (blue) and the **nCTEQ15-np** fit without pion data (gray) at the initial scale $Q = 1.3$ GeV. (b) Comparison of the **nCTEQ15** fit (blue) with results from other groups: EPS09 (green), DSSZ (orange), HKN07 (red). Shown are bound proton lead PDFs at scale $Q = 10$ GeV.

shows that the pion data impact the gluon distribution, and to a lesser extent the u_v , d_v and s PDFs. The inclusion of the pion data decreases the lead gluon PDF at larger x ($\gtrsim 10^{-1}$), and increases it at smaller x whereas the error bands are reduced in the intermediate to larger x range. For most of the other PDF flavors, the change in the central value is minimal. For these other PDFs, the inclusion of the pion data generally decreases the size of the error band.

3 Comparison with other nPDFs

We now compare the **nCTEQ15** PDFs with other recent nuclear parton distributions in the literature, in particular HKN07⁹, EPS09¹⁰, and DSSZ¹¹. Our data set selection and technical aspects of our analysis are closest to that of EPS09 on which we focus our comparison. As an example in Fig. 1b we present comparison of the bound proton lead PDFs at the scale $Q = 10$ GeV from the different groups.

For most flavors, \bar{u} , \bar{d} , s and g , there is a reasonable agreement between predictions. Even though, for the gluon, there is a larger spread in the predictions from the various PDF sets; we can see a distinct shape predicted by the **nCTEQ15** and EPS09 fits whereas HKN07 and DSSZ have similar, much flatter behavior in the small to intermediate x region and deviates from each other in the higher x region; however, all these differences are nearly contained within the PDF uncertainty bands.

Examining the u - and d -valence distributions, one can see that HKN07, EPS09, DSSZ sets agree quite closely with each other throughout the x range. While the **nCTEQ15** fit uncertainty bands generally overlap with the other sets, we see on average the u_v distribution is softer while the d_v distribution is harder. This difference highlights an important feature of the **nCTEQ15** fit; namely, that the u_v and d_v are allowed to be independent, whereas other groups assume the corresponding nuclear corrections to be identical. There is no physical motivation to assume the u_v and d_v nuclear corrections to be universal however the sensitivity of the currently available data to these differences is limited,^b which allows for good data description even with this assumption.

This additional freedom in the **nCTEQ15** valence distributions results in the difference between the bound proton valence distributions that is seen in Fig. 1b. Even though the difference is substantial we need to remember that the bound proton distributions are not really objects of interest, they are merely a very convenient way of parameterizing the actual quantities that are physically important – the full nuclear PDFs. The nuclear PDFs provide the distributions of partons in the whole nucleus and are combinations of bound proton and bound neutron PDFs

$$f^A = Z/A f^{p/A} + (A - Z)/Z f^{n/A}, \quad (1)$$

with Z being the number of protons and A the number of protons and neutrons in the nucleus. If we examine the differences between the full nuclear PDFs of the different groups, Fig. 2, we can see that the agreement between valence distributions is excellent. This means that the relatively big discrepancy on the level of bound proton valence PDFs vanishes due to the averaging of u and d distributions occurring when bound proton and bound neutron PDFs are summed.

4 Conclusions

We have presented the recent **nCTEQ15** nuclear PDFs. The analysis have been performed in the CTEQ framework and used Hessian method to determine PDF errors. The resulting nPDFs are publicly available in our internal PDS format (with corresponding interface) as well as in the new LHAPDF6 format. They can be downloaded from the **nCTEQ**¹² and LHAPDF¹³ websites.

We find relatively good agreement between our **nCTEQ15** nPDFs and those from other groups especially with EPS09. However, there are certain differences in both methodologies and results. One of them is the difference in treatment of the valence distributions which leads to differences at the level of bound proton PDFs which, however, vanish when full nuclear PDFs are constructed.

The errors of the **nCTEQ15** PDFs are comparable in size to those of EPS09 but they tend to be bigger than the HKN and DSSZ ones. Even with these relative consistency in the error determination it should be kept in mind that nPDF errors are still significantly underestimated.

^bOne of the reasons for this lack of sensitivity is the fact that older DIS data have been corrected for the neutron access and in turn have lost its ability to distinguish between u_v and d_v distributions.




Figure 2: Full nuclear lead PDFs from different groups at the scale of $Q = 10$ GeV.

This is caused by the limited number of free parameters in the fitting procedure and assumptions like the one on the valence distributions; unfortunately this kind of assumptions are currently unavoidable due to the lack of experimental data covering different kinematic regions.

The LHC proton-lead and lead-lead data have the potential to help further constrain nPDFs and in particular to obtain better sensitivity to the difference between u_v and d_v distributions unfortunately their current precision is still limited.

References

1. John C. Collins, Davison E. Soper, and George F. Sterman. Factorization for Short Distance Hadron - Hadron Scattering. *Nucl.Phys.*, B261:104, 1985.
2. Geoffrey T. Bodwin. Factorization of the Drell-Yan Cross-Section in Perturbation Theory. *Phys.Rev.*, D31:2616, 1985.
3. Jian-wei Qiu. Structure functions and parton distributions. *Nucl.Phys.*, A715:309–318, 2003.
4. A.D. Martin, W.J. Stirling, R.S. Thorne, and G. Watt. Parton distributions for the LHC. *Eur.Phys.J.*, C63:189–285, 2009.
5. Jun Gao, Marco Guzzi, Joey Huston, Hung-Liang Lai, Zhao Li, et al. CT10 next-to-next-to-leading order global analysis of QCD. *Phys.Rev.*, D89(3):033009, 2014.
6. Richard D. Ball, Valerio Bertone, Stefano Carrazza, Christopher S. Deans, Luigi Del Debbio, et al. Parton distributions with LHC data. *Nucl.Phys.*, B867:244–289, 2013.
7. J.F. Owens, A. Accardi, and W. Melnitchouk. Global parton distributions with nuclear and finite- Q^2 corrections. *Phys.Rev.*, D87(9):094012, 2013.
8. K. Kovarik et al. nCTEQ15 - Global analysis of nuclear parton distributions with uncertainties in the CTEQ framework. *Phys. Rev.*, D93(8):085037, 2016.
9. M. Hirai, S. Kumano, and T.-H. Nagai. Determination of nuclear parton distribution functions and their uncertainties in next-to-leading order. *Phys.Rev.*, C76:065207, 2007.
10. K.J. Eskola, H. Paukkunen, and C.A. Salgado. EPS09: A New Generation of NLO and LO Nuclear Parton Distribution Functions. *JHEP*, 0904:065, 2009.
11. Daniel de Florian, Rodolfo Sassot, Pia Zurita, and Marco Stratmann. Global Analysis of Nuclear Parton Distributions. *Phys.Rev.*, D85:074028, 2012.
12. nCTEQ website. <http://ncteq.hepforge.org/>.
13. LHAPDF website. <https://lhapdf.hepforge.org/>.